

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/829,529	04/22/2004	Scott Mordin Hoyte	137243 7322	
75	90 09/11/2006		EXAMINER	
John S. Beulick			PHAM, THOMAS K	
Armstrong Teasdale LLP Suite 2600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
One Metropolitan Square			2121	
St. Louis, MO 63102			DATE MAILED: 09/11/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/829,529	HOYTE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thomas K. Pham	2121				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim  iill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	ely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Ju	ne 2006.					
	action is non-final.					
B) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	A) 🗖 Intended Comment	(DTO 412)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/829,529 Page 2

Art Unit: 2121

# First Action on the Merits

1. Claims 1-22 of U.S. Application 10/829,529 filed on 04/20/2004 are presented for examination.

#### Quotations of U.S. Code Title 35

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No.

6,172,428 ("Jordan").

Regarding claim 1

Jordan teaches the invention including a method for operating a facility having a plurality of

equipment combinations, each equipment combination is operable interactively with at least one

other equipment combination (see Col. 3 lines 49-55), said method comprising: receiving, in

real-time, for each of the plurality of equipment combinations, a plurality of measured process

parameters (see Col. 9 lines 45-59); determining at least one derived quantity from the plurality

of measured process parameters (see Col. 10 lines 10-15); and recommending a change to an

equipment operation based on the measured process parameters and the derived quantities (see

Col. 7 lines 1-11).

Regarding claim 9

Jordan teaches the invention including a method of analyzing the health of an equipment

combination operating in a system that includes a plurality of other equipment combinations

coupled to the equipment combination through conduits, and wherein the equipment combination

includes a driver machine and a driven machine coupled in rotational synchronicity, said method

comprises: receiving a measured process parameter associated with the driver machine (see Col.

9 lines 45-59); receiving a measured process parameter associated with the driven machine (see

Col. 9 lines 45-59); receiving at least one measured process parameter associated with the

plurality of other equipment combinations (see Col. 7 lines 12-30); and deriving a process

parameter quantity for at least one of the measured process parameter associated with the driver

Art Unit: 2121

machine (see Col. 10 lines 10-15) and the measured process parameter associated with the driven machine using the at least one measured process parameter associated with the plurality of other

equipment combinations (see Col. 7 lines 1-11).

Regarding claim 12

Jordan teaches the invention including an integrated monitoring and control system for a plant wherein the plant has a plurality of equipment combinations that are operable interactively with each other and with individual equipment and wherein the combinations are operable to maintain selected plant operational conditions, said monitoring and control system comprising: a plurality of sensors operatively coupled to the equipment combinations, the plurality of sensors measuring process parameters for monitoring plant operation and assessing equipment combination condition, and providing output signals to said monitoring and control system (see Col. 25 lines 12-39); a derived quantity layer communicatively coupled to a data bus, said derived quantity layer configured to: receive the measured process parameters (see Col. 9 lines 45-59); and compute values for process parameters using the measured process parameters (see Col. 10 lines 10-15); a rule set layer comprising at least one rule associated with at least some of the plurality of equipment combinations for determining a health of the equipment combination (see Col. 18 lines 54-67); a recommendation layer for correlating the health of the equipment combination to at least one of a mitigating procedure, a maintaining procedure, and an operation procedure (see

Regarding claim 20

Col. 7 lines 1-11).

Jordan teaches the invention including a computer program embodied on a computer readable medium for monitoring a plant, the plant having a plurality of equipment combinations operating

Art Unit: 2121

interactively with each other and with individual equipment, said program comprising a code segment that controls a computer that receives a plurality of process parameters from sensors operatively coupled to the equipment combinations and individual equipment (see Col. 25 lines 12-39) and then derives values for process parameters using the measured process parameters (see Col. 10 lines 10-15); selects a rule from a set of rules comprising a plurality of commands that direct data analysis for each at least one of measured process parameter, a derived quantity, a plurality of measured process parameters and a derived quantities associated with an equipment combination (see Col. 18 lines 54-67); recommends at least one of a mitigating procedure, a maintaining procedure, and an operation procedure (see Col. 7 lines 1-11).

### Regarding claim 2

Jordan teaches wherein receiving, in real-time, for each of the plurality of equipment combinations and for the at least one individual piece of equipment further comprises receiving measured process parameters intermittently (see Col. 20 lines 40-47).

### Regarding claim 3

Jordan teaches wherein determining at least one derived quantity comprises determining at least one derived quantity in real-time (see Col. 7 lines 21-30).

### Regarding claim 4

Jordan teaches determining at least one derived quantity comprises: receiving measured process parameters associated with each of the derived quantities (see Col. 10 lines 10-15); and determining each of the derived quantities using at least one rule from a rule set (see Col. 18 lines 54-67).

Application/Control Number: 10/829,529

Art Unit: 2121

Regarding claim 5

Jordan teaches generating a rule set for an equipment combination using at least one of the

Page 6

measured process parameters, the derived quantities, a design specification for the equipment

combination, a maintenance history of the equipment combination, and an expert database (see

Col. 18 lines 54-67).

Regarding claim 6

Jordan teaches receiving technical information from an online interactive technical manual for at

least one equipment combination (see Col. 18 lines 17-26).

Regarding claim 7

Jordan teaches receiving measured process parameters from a remote input/output device (see

Col. 7 lines 30-38).

Regarding claim 8

Jordan teaches receiving measured process parameters from a portable data logger (see Col. 8

lines 1-15).

Regarding claim 10

Jordan teaches deriving a process parameter quantity comprises deriving a process parameter

quantity for a parameter that is not instrumented (see Col. 9 lines 45-59).

Regarding claim 11

Jordan teaches deriving a process parameter quantity comprises deriving a process parameter

quantity for a parameter that is measured by at least one process sensor wherein the derived

process parameter quantity is compared to a respective measured process parameter to verify an

operability of the at least one sensor (see Col. 10 lines 10-15).

Art Unit: 2121

# Regarding claim 13

Jordan teaches a communications layer for sampling said sensor output signals communicatively coupled to the output signals (see Col. 9 lines 24-44).

# Regarding claim 14

Jordan teaches communications layer is configured to receive network message packets of sensor output data (see Col. 9 lines 24-44).

### Regarding claim 15

Jordan teaches communications layer is configured to preprocess said sensor output signals (see Col. 9 lines 24-44).

## Regarding claim 16

Jordan teaches a display layer configured to generate graphical representations of measured process parameters and derived quantities (see Col. 9 lines 45-59).

# Regarding claim 17

Jordan teaches display layer is configured to generate graphical representations of measured process parameters and derived quantities in at least one of real-time, historical values, and a combination of real-time and historical values (see Col. 12 line 57 to Col. 13 line 6).

### Regarding claim 18

Jordan teaches wherein said mitigating procedure includes selectable control actions that are determined from a rule for at least one of facilitating reducing damage to equipment from an equipment failure, and maintaining the plant in an overall operational condition (see Col. 18 lines 54-67).

Application/Control Number: 10/829,529

Art Unit: 2121

Regarding claim 19

Jordan teaches wherein said maintenance procedure includes maintenance actions that are

Page 8

determined from a rule for at least one of facilitating reducing an equipment outage time,

increasing an equipment combination availability, and facilitating reducing equipment

combination failure (see Col. 18 lines 54-67).

Regarding claim 21

Jordan teaches directs the computer to receive a plurality of process parameters from a portable

data collector (see Col. 8 lines 1-15).

Regarding claim 22

Jordan teaches directs the computer to receive a plurality of process parameters from an online

process monitor (see Col. 10 lines 52-65).

Application/Control Number: 10/829,529

Art Unit: 2121

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Page 9

examiner should be directed to examiner Thomas Pham; whose telephone number is (571) 272-

3689, Monday - Thursday from 6:30 AM - 5:00 PM EST or contact Supervisor Mr. Anthony

*Knight* at (571) 272-3687.

Any response to this office action should be mailed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O.

Box 1450, Alexandria VA 22313-1450. Responses may also be faxed to the official fax

number (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thomas Pham

Patent Examiner

Thuphan

August 29, 2006